

⇒oA = dwr² the differential area dA(wh) of the microfacets Advanced Shading

Abwo

" prential flux incident on in normal wh

at the for the sil

Out going flux : 200 = F(wo) 20m

to go from integrating: The Absolute Basics of Shading $\partial \Phi_h(\omega; \partial \phi_b) = \lambda_i(\omega_i) \partial \omega \cos(\omega_i, \omega_h) D(\omega_h) \partial \omega_i$

diff sol. angle to area, we must only express dus in terms of dA

What is Shading

Offline Rendering / Raytracing

At every bounce of e.g. a path, figure out how much light bounces on

Real-time rendering

For every fragment, calculate how much light reaches the eye

vec3 indirect glossy = vec3(0.0); if(glossy weight > 0.0) { vec3 wi; vec3 f = sampleBeckmannF(wo, wi, normal, float costerm = max(0.0, dot(wi, normal))) indirect glossy += glossy_weight * f * tex } gl_FragColor.xyz += (1.0/16.0) * (fresnel * indirect # 1.0/16.0)

gl_FragColor.xyz = pow(abs(gl_FragColor.xyz), veci

gl_FnagColor.xyz = pow(ars(gl_FnagColor.xyz), vec

4

Who cares?

ea dA(wh) of the microgacets

Everyone

Almost all commercial renderers these days aim to be physically correct

• Previously, laws were broken excessively to reduce noise and rendering times

Li(wi) div de

- Now laws are broken in a much more controlled manner
- Almost all game engines these days aim to use physically based materials, lights etc.
 - On older hardware, "correct" shading was impossible/too expensive.
 - With only direct lighting, didn't really matter
 - Today engines incorporate lots of indirect lighting and the benefits of being physically based is becoming quite obvious. cos (wi, wh) D(wh)
- Realistic Images look realistic "for free". Much less tweaking by artists.
- Out going flux : 200 = F(Wa) 20n Physically based materials look "right" under any kind of lighting.
- When things look wrong, we can reason about why.

Why should *you* care?

- Implementing almost any CG algorithm is much easier
 In the (Game/com)
- In the (Game/CGI) industry, you are more than likely to have to write a baking tool, new material shader or GI algorithm some day. der arwein der (windde) = Di(wi) dw cas (wi, wh) D(wh) dwn der (windde) = Di(wi) dw cas (wi, wh) D(wh) dwn der going flux : de F(we) den
- Most importantly: It's fun stuff!

Basics: Radiometry

- Lightsource emits photons. Photons carry energy [J] (At a specific wavelength)
- The energy that passes a region of space per time unit is measured in radiant flux, Φ []/s=W]^{ting}
- Irradiance (E) at some point p, is the *flux* per unit area.

 $E(\mathbf{p}) = d\Phi/dA^{[W/m^{2}]}$



- Let's consider a digital pinhole camera
 - The shutter opens to let in photons for a short time (tls)
 - The aperture is the size of the little pinhole from integrating
 - Each sensor, with area Alse will measure the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it and a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) that hit it a during the energy (number of photons) the energ



- Let's consider a digital pinhole camera
 - To calculate, we integrate over time, sensor area and arg









- Radiance does not change along a ray between two surfaces!
- So to figure out the incoming radiance from ω at p, we shoot a ray to find p' and figure out the outgoing radiance in an outgoing radiance in a context of the outgoing radiance in a context of the



Jue how

- Radiance does not change along a ray between two surfaces!
- So to figure out the incoming radiance from ω at p, we shoot a ray to find the

outgoing radiance in are direction –ω^{mass} dur in

To find $L \downarrow o(\mathbf{p}, -\omega)$ we multiply consider all *incomin*

much of it reflects

rau

¹⁵⁻⁰²⁻¹³ towards $-\omega$.

Advanced Computer Graphics, Erik Sintorn

what shadin

 $L_i(p,\omega)$

Surface Reflection

- We wan't to figure out the ourgoing radiance for some direction at a point
 - р.
- We integrate over the hemisphere
- For every incoming direction, we can find out the differential irradiance over dAt every due in ter
- We want to know the irradiance on the surface, dA



Surface Reflection

- What we want to sum is *radiance*. To get there, we make an assumption.
- We invent a function that for any incoming and outgoing directions converts from differential irradiance to differential outgoing radiance.
- This function is the BRDF



The BRDF

- The BRDF describes the material
- A physically based BRDF has some important properties

$$L_{o}(p, \omega_{o}) = \int_{a}^{BRDF} f(\omega_{i}, \omega_{o}) \cos\theta_{i} L_{i}(\omega_{i}) d\omega_{i}$$

$$f(\omega_{i}, \omega_{o}) = \frac{dL_{o}(\omega_{o})}{dE(\omega_{i})}$$

$$\frac{Properties of BRDF:}{Reciprocity:} f(\omega_{i}, \omega_{o}) = f(\omega_{o}, \omega_{i})$$

$$Energy conservation : \int_{a}^{b} f(\omega_{i}, \omega_{o}) \cos\theta_{i} d\omega \leq 1$$

Optically smooth materials



Ideal specular reflection

- All energy incoming from
 ωιi shall be reflected in
 ωιr
- So, the differential Radiant Exitance (or Radiosity) over a surface perpendicular to ωlo is easily obtained.
- But we can't find 20 area , derivative! mess dus in ter
- We know though, that it's another spikey function and that if we integrate over all outgoing directions we get the 15-02-13 radiosity.



Ideal specular reflection

 "But I've implemented ideal specular reflection and it is nowhere *near* this complicated!"







Ideal specular refraction

- What about refraction?
- $d\omega \downarrow i \neq d\omega \downarrow o$
- Radiance changes as it passes from one media to another.







· UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Conductor (e.g. metals)

Non-transparent Dielectric (e.g. plastic)

Transparent Dielectric (e.g. glass)



- A close approximation for Dielectrics
- In Computer Graphics, we rarely care about polarization
- This effect is easily rating of observed on e.g. a still lake only express dur in ter



- Conductors don't refract, but energy is turned into heat.
- Equations depend on index of refraction and absorption coefficient
- Both are wavelengtharea, dependent press dat in ter



 So for physically based ideal smooth surfaces, the BRDF takes fresnel into account











- Torrance and Sparrow
 [1967] suggested that
 rough surfaces can be
 modelled as collections of
 ideally smooth mirrors
- We will then only see reflections from those microsurfaces that have normal = half-angle
- The surface is described by a distribution function D(w), which says how likely a microsurface is to
 15-02-13 have normal = w



• We begin by figuring out how much flux is reflected from one direction.

to glo from integrating ou diff sol. angle 20 area, o only express dw in ter



The incoming flux, do [W] on a surface, dA from a tiny solid angle, dwi is: do [J/s] $d\Phi = L_i(\omega_i) \partial \omega \cos \Theta i dA$ dA $\partial \omega_{\rm b}$ For some tiny span of incoming directions, dwi, we have a tiny span of facet-normals, dwh, that matter.

The percentage of microfacets with such normals are: D(wh) dwh

So, the size of the surface that actually reflects light is:

 $dA(w_h) = D(w_h) dw_h dA$

Advanced Computer Graphics, Erik Sintorn

Jwi

 $\wedge D(\omega)$

 $dA(\omega_{b})$ +

dw

dA



an .

- We begin by figuring out how much flux is reflected from one direction.
- Then we find outgoing radiance
- And then we can express the Torrance Sparrow BRDF do from integrating
- Add shadowing/masking function express dus in ter
- What about interreflection?

 $F(\omega_0) Li(\omega_i) D(\omega_h) d\omega_i$ $L_0(\omega_0) =$ 4costo $f(\omega_i, \omega_o) = \frac{dL_o(\omega_o)}{dE(\omega_i)} = \frac{dL_o(\omega_o)}{Li(\omega_i)(\omega_i)}$ Liltor) costi dur Tonnance - Sparrow BRDF: $f(\omega_i, \omega_o) = \frac{F(\omega_o) D(\omega_h)}{4 \cos \Theta_o \cos \Theta_i}$ 20 Need shadowing function, G(wi, wo). Example G: $G(\omega_1, \omega_0) = \min(1, \min(\frac{2(n \cdot \omega_1)(n \cdot \omega_0)}{2(n \cdot \omega_1)(n \cdot \omega_2)})$ Wo · Wh Wo·Wh

- Final Torrance Sparrow
 BRDF
 - F, G and D are interchangeable
 - (but facets must be perfect mirrors)
- One possible distribution D, which should be familiar, was suggested by Blinn [1977]



What about diffuse?

Lambertian: $f(\omega_{i},\omega_{o}) = "color"$

Oren-Nayar (roughness 1)

Torrance - Sparrow with GGX D, Smith G, roughness = 1.0

The differential area dA(wzh) of the microfacets

have norma wh

What else did we skip? – Rough Refractions

See: Microfacet Models for Refraction through Rough Surfaces

Bruce Walter, Stephen R. Marschner, Hongsong Li, and Kenneth E. Torrance

What else did we skip? – Complex Materials

What else did we skip? – Subsurface Scattering

